

#### Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE DIRECT®



Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 337 (2005) 116-120

www.elsevier.com/locate/ybbrc

# Interaction of NUB1 with the proteasome subunit S5a

Kunikazu Tanji, Tomoaki Tanaka, Tetsu Kamitani\*

Department of Cardiology, The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX 77030, USA

Received 18 August 2005 Available online 13 September 2005

#### **Abstract**

NUB1 interacts with a ubiquitin-like protein NEDD8 to target the NEDD8 monomer and neddylated proteins to the proteasome for degradation. Therefore, NUB1 is thought to be a potent downregulator of NEDD8 conjugation system. Since NUB1 possesses a UBL domain, which was previously shown to be an S5a-interacting motif in RAD23/HHR23, we initially hypothesized that NUB1 interacts with the S5a subunit of the proteasome through its UBL domain. To examine this, we performed an in vitro GST pull-down assay and a yeast two-hybrid assay. Unexpectedly, our studies revealed that NUB1 directly interacts with the S5a subunit through its C-terminal region between amino acid residues 536 and 584, not through its UBL domain. Although the UBL domain was not an S5a-interacting motif in NUB1, our further studies revealed that the UBL domain is required for the function of NUB1.

© 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: NUB1; NUB1L; AIPL1; NEDD8; Ubiquitin; Proteasome; S5a; UBL; UBA

NEDD8 is an 81-amino acid protein that shares 60% identity and 80% homology with ubiquitin. NEDD8 conjugates to target proteins, and this conjugation (neddylation) is catalyzed by enzymatic reactions, in a manner analogous to ubiquitination [1,2]. So far, NEDD8 has been reported to conjugate to a limited number of target proteins, including cullin family members, the von Hippel–Lindau tumor suppressor gene product (pVHL), and p53 [3–5]. Because neddylation modifies the function of these target proteins, the neddylation system seems to regulate many important biological events [2,4,5].

Recently, we identified a novel downregulator of the neddylation system, NUB1 [6], which is a NEDD8-interacting protein composed of 601 amino acid residues with a calculated molecular mass of 69.1 kDa. It possesses a ubiquitin-like (UBL) domain at the N-terminal region and two ubiquitin-associated (UBA) domains at the C-terminal region. Further, it is an interferon-inducible protein and predominantly localizes in the nucleus. In a biochemical analysis, we found that NUB1 recruits NEDD8 and its

conjugates to the proteasome for degradation, making NUB1 a downregulator in the neddylation system [7].

Because some UBL domains have been shown to interact with the S5a subunit of the 26S proteasome [8], we hypothesized that NUB1 interacts with the S5a subunit through its UBL domain to target NEDD8 to the proteasome. To test this hypothesis, we performed a GST pull-down assay and a yeast two-hybrid assay. In addition, we examined whether the UBL domain is essential for NUB1 function.

## Materials and methods

Cell culture. COS-M6 cells were generous gifts of Dr. Steven Goldring of Harvard Medical School. These cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and antibiotics.

Antibodies. Mouse anti-RH antibody specific to the amino acid sequences RGSHHHH and GGSHHHHH was purchased from Qiagen (Santa Clara, CA). GST-12, a mouse anti-glutathione-S-transferase (GST) antibody, was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). Mouse anti-FLAG antibody (M5) and rabbit polyclonal anti-actin antibody (specific for the C-terminal actin fragment) were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO).

Plasmid construction. To express RH-tagged S5a and GST-fusion proteins in Escherichia coli BL21 cells, pTrcHis plasmid (Invitrogen) and

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Fax: +1 713 563 0424.

E-mail address: tkamitani@mdanderson.org (T. Kamitani).

pGEX-2TK plasmid (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) were used, respectively. The cDNA inserts in these plasmids, including human S5a [7], wild-type NUB1 [6], and HHR23B [9], were prepared from other plasmids constructed previously in our laboratory. The cDNAs of truncated NUB1 were amplified by a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using appropriate primers and a cDNA of wild-type NUB1.

To express proteins tagged with epitope at the N-terminus in COS-M6 cells, pcDNA3/RH-N and pcDNA3/FLAG-N were used as described previously [7,10]. The human cDNAs in these plasmids were also described previously. These include NEDD8 [1], the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) [11], and NUB1 [6]. The cDNA of truncated NUB1 (amino acid residues 148–601) lacking a UBL domain was amplified by PCR. These cDNAs were inserted into the aforementioned plasmid vectors, and the plasmids were transfected into COS-M6 cells using FuGENE6 (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Indianapolis, IN). The transfected cells were then harvested for Western blotting 20 h after transfection.

GST pull-down assay. RH-tagged S5a and GST-fusion proteins were expressed in *E. coli* BL21 cells, as described previously [12], using pTrcHis plasmid (Invitrogen) and pGEX-2TK plasmid (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech), respectively. Cells were then resuspended in lysis buffer (25 mM Tris–HCl [pH 7.5], 100 mM NaCl, and 0.1% NP-40) containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and then lysed by brief sonication. The GST-fusion proteins were purified as described previously [12]. The crude bacterial lysate containing RH-S5a was centrifuged at 14,000g for 5 min, and the supernatant was incubated for 3 h at room temperature with GST-fusion proteins immobilized on glutathione–Sepharose beads (Amersham Biosciences). Next, the beads were washed four times with lysis buffer. The precipitated proteins on the beads were solubilized in sample treating buffer containing 2% SDS and 5% β-mercaptoethanol. The solubilized proteins were analyzed by Western blot analysis using anti-RH antibody.

Yeast two-hybrid analysis. The cDNAs used in the yeast two-hybrid assay had been previously prepared. These included S5a, wild-type NUB1, full-length NUB1 with L573A and L577A substitutes, truncated NUB1, truncated NUB1 with L573A and L577A substitutes, wild-type NUB1L, and HHR23B [9,13]. To examine the in vivo interaction of S5a with wild-type NUB1, mutant NUB1, wild-type NUB1L, or HHR23B, the yeast MATCHMAKER two-hybrid system 3 (Clontech) was used as described previously [14]. The cDNA of human S5a was subcloned into pGADT7 (GAL4 DNA-activating domain vector for Gal4-AD fusion), while the other cDNAs were subcloned into pGBKT7 (Gal4 DNA-binding domain vector for Gal4-BD fusion). The plasmids for the two fusion constructs were cotransfected into AH109 yeast cells, and then the yeast two-hybrid interaction was tested as described previously [9].

Western blotting. Protein samples were treated at 45 °C for 1 h in 2% SDS treating solution containing 5%  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol. After SDS–PAGE, Western blotting was performed using the protocol provided with the ECL detection system (Amersham Biosciences). As a secondary antibody, horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG antibody or anti-rabbit IgG antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was used.

#### Results

In vitro interaction of S5a with truncated NUB1

At the N-terminal region, NUB1 possesses a UBL domain, which is thought to be an S5a-binding motif for the interaction with the 26S proteasome [8]. Therefore, we initially hypothesized that NUB1 binds to the 26S proteasome through the interaction between the UBL domain of NUB1 and the S5a subunit of the proteasome. Indeed, in our previous study, we demonstrated the physical interaction between NUB1 and the S5a subunit of the proteasome [7]. However, we did not define whether the UBL domain of NUB1 interacts with the S5a subunit. To determine this, we performed a GST pull-down assay (Fig. 1). In




Fig. 1. GST pull-down assay done to examine the in vitro interaction between S5a and NUB1 mutants. RH-tagged S5a was expressed in bacteria. The bacterial lysate containing RH-S5a was precipitated by GST (lane 2), GST-NUB1 (wild type) (lane 3), GST-NUB1 mutant with a C-terminal deletion (lanes 4 and 5) or an N-terminal deletion (lane 6), or GST-HHR23 (lane 7). The precipitates were analyzed by Western blotting using anti-RH antibody to detect RH-S5a (upper panel) and anti-GST antibody to detect GST, GST-NUB1, or GST-HHR23 (lower panel).

bacteria, we expressed GST alone and GST-fused fulllength NUB1 and its truncated mutants, including NUB1<sup>1-84</sup>, NUB1<sup>1-152</sup>, and NUB1<sup>148-601</sup>. NUB1<sup>1-84</sup> is an N-terminal fragment of NUB1 and does not possess either UBL or UBA domains. NUB1<sup>1-152</sup> is a longer N-terminal fragment and possesses a UBL domain but not UBA domains. NUB1<sup>148-601</sup> is a C-terminal fragment and possesses two UBA domains but not a UBL domain (see Fig. 2D). As a positive control, we used HHR23B, which is known as an S5a-interacting protein [15]. These GST-fusion proteins were purified with glutathione beads, and then RHtagged S5a was precipitated using these protein-coated beads. The precipitates were analyzed by Western blotting using anti-RH antibody or anti-GST antibody. As shown in Fig. 1, RH-S5a could not be precipitated by GST, GST-NUB1<sup>1-84</sup>, or GST-NUB1<sup>1-152</sup> (lanes 2, 4, and 5), whereas it could be precipitated by GST-NUB1 1-601 (full length) or GST-NUB1 148-601, as well as by GST-HHR23B (lanes 3, 6, and 7). These results indicated that NUB1 directly interacts with the S5a subunit through its C-terminal region between amino acid residues 148 and 601 but not through its UBL domain.

Identification of S5a-binding site on NUB1

Since we found that S5a directly binds to the C-terminal region of NUB1 (amino acid residues 148–601) in the in vitro interaction assay, we next attempted to precisely identify the S5a-binding site on NUB1. First, we generated cDNAs of two truncated NUB1s, N-terminal half (amino




Fig. 2. Mapping of the S5a-binding site on NUB1. (A) Interaction of S5a with NUB1, an alternative splicing product of NUB1 (NUB1L), and HHR23B in a yeast two-hybrid system. The yeast strain AH109 was transformed with both pGADT7/S5a and pGBKT7 plasmid encoding wild-type NUB1L, wild-type NUB1, NUB1 with L573A and L577A substitutions, a C-terminal NUB1 fragment between amino acid residues 371 and 601, an N-terminal NUB1 fragment between amino acid residues 1 and 370, or HHR23B. Transformed yeast cells were grown on a selection plate. (B) Interaction of S5a with truncated NUB1 in a yeast two-hybrid system. The yeast strain AH109 was transformed with both pGADT7/S5a and pGBKT7 plasmid encoding wild-type NUB1 (*WT*) or truncated NUB1 (*M1*–8). Transformed yeast cells were grown on a selection plate, and the specific protein–protein interaction was determined by the growth of the cells. Amino acid residues are numbered. *UBL*, ubiquitin-like domain; *UBA*, ubiquitin-associated domain; +, positive interaction; -, negative interaction. (C) Interaction of S5a with C-terminal NUB1 mutants in a yeast two-hybrid system. The yeast strain AH109 was transformed with both pGADT7/S5a and pGBKT7 plasmid encoding truncated NUB1 (*M8*–13) or truncated NUB1 with L573A and L577A substitutions (*M13m*). Transformed yeast cells were grown on a selection plate, and the specific protein–protein interaction was determined by the growth of the cells. (D) Location of the S5a-binding site on NUB1. Binding sites for linear polyubiquitins, AIPL1, NEDD8, and S5a are indicated by arrows.

acid residues 1-370) and C-terminal half (amino acid residues 371–601). The interaction of S5a with these truncated NUB1s was then examined in a yeast two-hybrid assay. As shown in Fig. 2A, S5a interacted with the C-terminal half of NUB1 but not with the N-terminal half, which narrowed down the S5a-binding area on NUB1 to between amino acid residues 371 and 601. In this assay, we also tested the interaction of S5a with HHR23B (positive control; [15]), NUB1L, and NUB1 possessing L573A and L577A substitutions. NUB1L is an alternative splicing product of the nub1 gene, which has a 14-amino acid insertion between the UBA1 domain and UBA3 domain of NUB1 [13]. As shown in Fig. 2A, NUB1L, as well as NUB1, interacted with S5a, suggesting that the insertion in NUB1L does not affect the interaction with S5a. However, unlike wild-type NUB1 and NUB1L, NUB1 possessing L573A and L577A substitutions did not interact with S5a. Previously, we generated this substitution mutant of NUB1 to abolish the interaction between NEDD8 and the C-terminal region of NUB1 [13]. Therefore, the results in our previous studies plus those in this study implied that the S5a-binding site of NUB1 is related to the NEDD8-binding site, which is located between amino acid residues 536 and 584.

After we roughly mapped the S5a-binding site on NUB1, we further narrowed down the S5a-binding area using deletion mutants of NUB1 in another yeast two-hybrid interaction assay. As shown in Fig. 2B, for this purpose, we generated six more mutants of NUB1, M3 to M8, to examine the interaction with S5a. Each mutant had a C-terminal deletion and/or an N-terminal deletion. Using these mutants and a wild-type NUB1, we then examined their interaction with S5a in yeast cells. In this yeast two-hybrid assay, S5a fused to the Gal4 DNA-activating domain was used for the interaction with a panel of NUB1 mutants fused to the Gal4 DNA-binding domain. As shown in Fig. 2B, S5a interacted with wild-type NUB1 (WT), NUB1<sup>371-601</sup> (M2), NUB1<sup>461-601</sup> (M6), and  $NUB1^{515-601}$  (M8) but not with  $NUB1^{1-370}$  (M1), NUB1<sup>1-418</sup> (*M3*), NUB1<sup>371-418</sup> (*M4*), NUB1<sup>427-460</sup> (*M5*),

or NUB1<sup>472–514</sup> (*M7*). These results indicated that an S5a-binding site was located at the C-terminus of NUB1 between amino acid residues 515 and 601. To pinpoint the S5a-binding site at the C-terminus of NUB1, we generated five more mutants, *M9* to *M13*, and examined their interaction with S5a using a yeast two-hybrid assay. As shown in Fig. 2C, S5a interacted with NUB1<sup>515–584</sup> (*M9*), NUB1<sup>536–601</sup> (*M11*), and NUB1<sup>536–584</sup> (*M13*) but not with NUB1<sup>515–568</sup> (*M10*) or NUB1<sup>569–601</sup> (*M12*). These results indicated that the S5a-binding site was located at the C-terminus of NUB1 between amino acid residues 536 and 584. From this it appears that the UBL domain of NUB1 does not function as an S5a-interacting motif.

# Abolished function of NUB1 lacking UBL domain

The results from the experiment described in the preceding section therefore raised the question whether the UBL domain is essential for NUB1 function. To answer this question, we examined whether NUB1 lacking the UBL domain lost its function by using the COS-cell cotransfection method (Fig. 3). Specifically, FLAG-tagged NEDD8




Fig. 3. Function of NUB1 lacking an N-terminal UBL domain. In COS cells, FLAG-tagged NEDD8 was coexpressed with empty vector (lane 2), RH-tagged glucocorticoid receptor (GR) (lane 3), wild-type NUB1 (lane 4), or truncated NUB1 lacking an N-terminal UBL domain (lane 5). Total cell lysates were prepared from transfectants and analyzed by Western blotting using anti-FLAG antibody to detect the unconjugated form of FLAG-NEDD8 and proteins conjugated with FLAG-NEDD8 (upper panel) and anti-RH antibody to detect the coexpressed proteins (middle panel). To demonstrate an equal loading amount of total cell lysates, Western blotting using anti-actin antibody was also performed (lower panel).

was coexpressed with control vectors, wild-type NUB1, or NUB1 lacking the UBL domain in COS cells. Twenty hours after transfection, the cells were harvested to prepare total cell lysates. Finally, the expression of FLAG-NEDD8 was examined by Western blotting using mouse anti-FLAG antibody. As shown in Fig. 3, when FLAG-NEDD8 was coexpressed with an empty vector (lane 2) or RH-tagged glucocorticoid receptor (GR) (lane 3), a 7-kDa band of unconjugated FLAG-NEDD8 and highmolecular-mass bands of FLAG-NEDD8-conjugated proteins were clearly detected. This expression pattern of NEDD8 was identical to that seen in our previous study [1]. As shown in lane 4, however, the overexpression of RH-NUB1 (wild type) greatly reduced the expression of FLAG-NEDD8, because NUB1 recruits both unconjugated and conjugated NEDD8 for proteasomal degradation [7]. In contrast to the wild-type NUB1, NUB1 lacking the UBL domain did not affect the expression of FLAG-NEDD8 (lane 5). Importantly, in this experiment, we transfected the same amount (2.5 µg) of plasmid DNA into COS cells on a 6-cm plate to express RH-tagged proteins, including GR, wild-type NUB1, and NUB1 lacking the UBL domain. However, expression of the NUB1 protein lacking the UBL domain was much higher than that of the other proteins, probably because of its stability (see middle panel in Fig. 3). Even though NUB1 lacking the UBL domain was expressed at a higher level, it did not reduce the expression of FLAG-NEDD8 at all, indicating that the UBL domain is required for the function of NUB1.

### Discussion

We previously reported that NUB1 interacts with NEDD8 [6,13], S5a [7], linear polyubiquitins [9], and AIPL1 [16,17]. In these studies, we determined the binding sites of NUB1-interacting proteins except for S5a. In particular, we found that NEDD8 binds to the C-terminal region of NUB1 between amino acid residues 536 and 584 [13], linear polyubiquitins bind to the UBA1 domain of NUB1 between amino acid residues 371 and 418 [9], and AIPL1 binds to the C-terminal region of NUB1 between amino acid residues 569 and 584 [17]. In the study presented here, we mapped the S5a-binding site on NUB1 between amino acid residues 536 and 584. Thus, we have found that at least three proteins, including NEDD8, S5a, and AIPL1, interact with the same region at the C-terminus of NUB1 (see Fig. 2D). This seems to be important for the regulation of NUB1 function, because the potential interference or competition between these proteins on NUB1 might play roles in the regulation of NUB1 function. To understand this regulation, we may need to identify additional NUB1-interacting proteins that bind to the same region on NUB1. Further studies will be necessary to elucidate the details of the interaction between the C-terminal region of NUB1 and these various proteins.

#### Acknowledgment

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grant R01 DK56298 (to T.K.).

#### References

- T. Kamitani, K. Kito, H.P. Nguyen, E.T.H. Yeh, Characterization of NEDD8, a developmentally down-regulated ubiquitin-like molecule, J. Biol. Chem. 272 (1997) 28557–28562.
- [2] E.T.H. Yeh, L. Gong, T. Kamitani, Ubiquitin-like proteins: new wines in new bottles, Gene 248 (2000) 1–14.
- [3] H. Wada, E.T.H. Yeh, T. Kamitani, Identification of NEDD8conjugation site in human cullin-2, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 257 (1999) 100–105.
- [4] N.H. Stickle, J. Chung, J.M. Kleo, R.P. Hill, W.G. Kaelin Jr., M. Ohh, pVHL modification by NEDD8 is required for fibronectin matrix assembly and suppression of tumor development, Mol. Cell. Biol. 24 (2004) 3251–3261.
- [5] D.P. Xirodimas, M.K. Saville, J.C. Bourdon, R.T. Hay, D.P. Lane, Mdm2-mediated NEDD8 conjugation of p53 inhibits its transcriptional activity, Cell 118 (2004) 83–97.
- [6] K. Kito, E.T.H. Yeh, T. Kamitani, NUB1, a NEDD8-interacting protein, is induced by interferon and downregulates the NEDD8 expression, J. Biol. Chem. 276 (2001) 20603–20609.
- [7] T. Kamitani, K. Kito, T. Fukuda-Kamitani, E.T.H. Yeh, Targeting of NEDD8 and its conjugates for proteasomal degradation by NUB1, J. Biol. Chem. 276 (2001) 46655–46660.
- [8] C. Schauber, L. Chen, P. Tongaonkar, I. Vega, D. Lambertson, W. Potts, K. Madura, Rad23 links DNA repair to the ubiquitin/proteasome pathway, Nature 391 (1998) 715–718.

- [9] T. Tanaka, E.T. Yeh, T. Kamitani, NUB1-mediated targeting of the ubiquitin precursor UbC1 for its C-terminal hydrolysis, Eur. J. Biochem. 271 (2004) 972–982.
- [10] T. Kamitani, K. Kito, H.P. Nguyen, T. Fukuda-Kamitani, E.T.H. Yeh, Characterization of a second member of the sentrin family of ubiquitin-like proteins, J. Biol. Chem. 273 (1998) 11349–11353.
- [11] S.M. Hollenberg, C. Weinberger, E.S. Ong, G. Cerelli, A. Oro, R. Lebo, E.B. Thompson, M.G. Rosenfeld, R.M. Evans, Primary structure and expression of a functional human glucocorticoid receptor cDNA, Nature 318 (1985) 635–641.
- [12] T. Kamitani, H.P. Nguyen, E.T.H. Yeh, Activation-induced aggregation and processing of the human Fas antigen-detection with cytoplasmic domain specific antibodies, J. Biol. Chem. 272 (1997) 22307–22314.
- [13] T. Tanaka, H. Kawashima, E.T.H. Yeh, T. Kamitani, Regulation of the NEDD8 conjugation system by a splicing variant, NUB1L, J. Biol. Chem. 278 (2003) 32905–32913.
- [14] K. Kito, H. Wada, E.T.H. Yeh, T. Kamitani, Identification of novel isoforms of human RAD52, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1449 (1999) 303– 314.
- [15] H. Hiyama, M. Yokoi, C. Masutani, K. Sugasawa, T. Maekawa, K. Tanaka, J.H.J. Hoeijmakers, F. Hanaoka, Interaction of hHR23 with S5a, J. Biol. Chem. 274 (1999) 28019–28025.
- [16] D.T. Akey, X. Zhu, M. Dyer, A. Li, A. Sorensen, S. Blackshaw, T. Fukuda-Kamitani, S.P. Daiger, C.M. Craft, T. Kamitani, M.M. Sohocki, The inherited blindness associated protein AIPL1 interacts with the cell cycle regulator protein NUB1, Hum. Mol. Genet. 11 (2002) 2723–2733.
- [17] K. Kanaya, M.M. Sohocki, T. Kamitani, Abolished interaction of NUB1 with mutant AIPL1 involved in Leber congenital amaurosis, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 317 (2004) 768–773.